UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,470	01/05/2006	Yoshinori Okazaki	501/43514/Case 8942 101-PCT-US		
	7590 10/28/200 ISHNELL, GIANGIOF	EXAMINER			
BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.			KENNEDY, TIMOTHY J		
SUITE 3600	105 WEST ADAMS STREET SUITE 3600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	CHICAGO, IL 60603			4151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/541,470	OKAZAKI, YOSHINORI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TIMOTHY KENNEDY	4151			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examinel 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/01/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 4151

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 2. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bulgrin et al (U.S. PreGrant Publication 2003/0062643: Filing Date 09/29/2001). Regarding claim 1, Bulgrin et al teach:
- 3. Detecting an angular velocity ω of a motor operative to propel forward a screw in an injection molding machine (wherein first input value is obtained from one of a velocity sensor and a position sensor associated with said injection molding machine: page 12, claim 16)
- 4. Please note that the term velocity is equal to angular velocity (paragraph 0060)
- 5. Deriving an estimated melt pressure value δ^{\wedge} (current melt pressure value: page 12, claim 4), based on an observer (page 12, claim 4), from said detected angular velocity ω of said motor and a torque command value T^{CMD} given to said motor (first input value is indicative of one of a velocity and a position associated with said electric motor, and wherein said second input value is indicative of one of a current and a torque associated with said electric motor: page 12, claim 4)

Art Unit: 4151

6. And controlling said motor such that said estimated melt pressure value δ^{\wedge} follows a melt pressure setting δ^{REF} . (pressure profiling: page 11, middle of paragraph 0081)

- 7. Regarding claim 9:
- 8. An apparatus for controlling pressure in an electric injection molding machine, comprising: an observer arithmetic unit operative to derive an estimated melt pressure value δ^{Λ} (current melt pressure value: page 12, claim 4), based on an observer (page 12, claim 4), from an angular velocity ω of a motor operative to propel forward a screw in an injection molding machine and a torque command value T^{CMD} given to said motor (first input value is indicative of one of a velocity and a position associated with said electric motor, and wherein said second input value is indicative of one of a current and a torque associated with said electric motor: page 12, claim 4)
- 9. And a torque arithmetic unit operative to calculate said torque command value T^{CMD} for said motor using said estimated melt pressure value δ^{Λ} derived at said observer arithmetic unit and feed back said torque command value to said motor. (paragraph 0021)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,470

Art Unit: 4151

10. Claims 2-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

Page 4

over Bulgrin et al (U.S. PreGrant Publication 2003/0062643: Filing Date 09/29/2001).

11. Regarding claim 2:

12. Wherein said observer is represented by the following Expression 1 (Expression

1 not shown)

13. ω^{\wedge} : Estimated value of Angular velocity of Motor

14. Bulgrin et al disclose a means for detecting angular velocity (Figure 8a)

15. d_1 , d_2 : Certain coefficients (Figure 8a)

16. J: Inertia moment over Injection mechanism (paragraph 0060)

17. $F(\omega)$: Dynamic frictional resistance and Static frictional resistance over injection

mechanism

18. Dynamic frictional resistance and Static frictional resistance are defined as a

function of torque and velocity, both of which Bulgrin et al disclose (page 12, claim 4)

19. Bulgrin et al disclose the claimed invention except for Expression 1 (symbolic of

a value of a result effective variable). It would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to develop Expression 1

using the known variables, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of

a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been

motivated to develop Expression 1 using the known variables, which are well within the

level of ordinary skill in the art, for the purpose of controlling the injection pressure to

ensure that the injection process is free of defects. In re Antonie, 559 F.2d 618, 195

USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977).

- 20. Regarding claim 3:
- 21. Wherein said observer is represented by the following Expression 2 (Expression 2 not shown)
- 22. ω^{Λ} : Estimated value of Angular velocity of Motor
- 23. Bulgrin et al disclose a means for detecting angular velocity (Figure 8a)
- 24. d_1 , d_2 : Certain coefficients (Figure 8a)
- 25. J: Inertia moment over Injection mechanism (paragraph 0060)
- 26. $F(\omega)$: Dynamic frictional resistance and Static frictional resistance over injection mechanism
- 27. Dynamic frictional resistance and Static frictional resistance are defined as a function of torque and velocity, both of which Bulgrin et al disclose (page 12, claim 4)
- 28. x_{-1} : Value of x at Immediately preceding processing period
- 29. These values would be known since these are well within the level of ordinary skill in the art and there are means for the detection of these values as described above.
- 30. Bulgrin et al disclose the claimed invention except for Expression 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to develop Expression 2 using the known variables, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to develop Expression 2 using the known variables for the purpose of controlling the injection pressure to ensure that the injection process is free of defects. *In re Antonie*, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977).

- 31. Regarding claim 4:
- 32. Wherein said screw in said injection molding machine and said motor are coupled together via a belt suspended around pulleys mounted on respective rotation shafts (Figure 2 and 5), and wherein said observer is represented by the following Expression 3 (Expression 3 not shown)
- 33. $d_1 d_5$: Certain coefficients (Figure 8a)
- 34. J^M: Inertia moment at Motor side (paragraph 0060)
- 35. ω^{M} :angular velocity of Motor (paragraph 0060)
- 36. R^M :Pulley radius at Motor side (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)
- 37. F: Tension of Belt (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)
- 38. K_b: Spring constant of Belt (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)
- 39. J^L: Inertia moment at Screw side (paragraph 0060)
- 40. ω^L : Angular velocity at Screw side (paragraph 0060)
- 41. R^L: Pulley radius at Screw side (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 5)
- 42. $Fd(\omega^{L})$: Dynamic frictional resistance at Screw side
- 43. Dynamic frictional resistance is defined as a function of torque and velocity, both of which Bulgrin et al disclose (paragraph 0060)

- 44. K_w : Elastic modulus of Resin (This is a known variable of the material being injected)
- 45. K_{wd} : Coefficient of Viscosity of Resin (This is a known variable of the material being injected)
- 46. σ : Force of Screw pushing Resin (paragraph 0061)
- 47. Bulgrin et al disclose the claimed invention except for Expression 3. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to develop Expression 3 using the known variables, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to develop Expression 3 using the known variables for the purpose of controlling the injection pressure to ensure that the injection process is free of defects. *In re Antonie*, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977).
- 48. Regarding claim 5:
- 49. Wherein said screw in said injection molding machine and said motor are coupled together via a belt suspended around pulleys mounted on respective rotation shafts (Figure 2 and 5), and wherein said observer is represented by the following Expression 4 (Expression 4 not shown)
- 50. $d_1 d_5$: Certain coefficients (Figure 8a)
- 51. J^M: Inertia moment at Motor side (paragraph 0060)
- 52. ω^{M} :angular velocity of Motor (paragraph 0060)
- 53. R^M :Pulley radius at Motor side (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)

Art Unit: 4151

54. F: Tension of Belt (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)

- 55. K_b: Spring constant of Belt (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)
- 56. J^L: Inertia moment at Screw side (paragraph 0060)
- 57. ω^{L} : Angular velocity at Screw side (paragraph 0060)
- 58. R^L: Pulley radius at Screw side (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 5)
- 59. $Fd(\omega^L)$: Dynamic frictional resistance at Screw side
- 60. Dynamic frictional resistance is defined as a function of torque and velocity, both of which Bulgrin et al disclose (paragraph 0060)
- 61. x_{-1} : Value of x at Immediately preceding processing period
- 62. These values would be known since there are means for the detection of these values as described above.
- 63. Bulgrin et al disclose the claimed invention except for Expression 4. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to develop Expression 4 using the known variables, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to develop Expression 4 using the known variables for the purpose of controlling the injection pressure to ensure that the injection process is free of defects. *In re Antonie*, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977).
- 64. Regarding claim 6:

65. Wherein said screw in said injection molding machine and said motor are coupled together via a belt suspended around pulleys mounted on respective rotation shafts (Figure 2 and 5), and wherein said observer is represented by the following Expression 5 (Expression 5 not shown)

- 66. $d_1 d_4$: Certain coefficients (Figure 8a)
- 67. J^M: Inertia moment at Motor side (paragraph 0060)
- 68. ω^{M} :angular velocity of Motor (paragraph 0060)
- 69. R^M :Pulley radius at Motor side (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)
- 70. F: Tension of Belt (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)
- 71. K_b: Spring constant of Belt (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)
- 72. J^L: Inertia moment at Screw side (paragraph 0060)
- 73. ω^L : Angular velocity at Screw side (paragraph 0060)
- 74. R^L: Pulley radius at Screw side (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 5)
- 75. $Fd(\omega^L)$: Dynamic frictional resistance at Screw side
- 76. Dynamic frictional resistance is defined as a function of torque and velocity, both of which Bulgrin et al disclose (paragraph 0060)
- 77. Bulgrin et al disclose the claimed invention except for Expression 5. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to develop Expression 5 using the known variables, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to develop Expression 5 using the known variables for the purpose of controlling the injection pressure to ensure that the injection process is free of defects. *In re Antonie*, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977).

- 78. Regarding claim 7:
- 79. Wherein said screw in said injection molding machine and said motor are coupled together via a belt suspended around pulleys mounted on respective rotation shafts (Figure 2 and 5), and wherein said observer is represented by the following Expression 6 (Expression 6 not shown)
- 80. $d_1 d_4$: Certain coefficients (Figure 8a)
- 81. J^M: Inertia moment at Motor side (paragraph 0060)
- 82. ω^{M} :angular velocity of Motor (paragraph 0060)
- 83. R^M :Pulley radius at Motor side (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)
- 84. F: Tension of Belt (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)
- 85. K_b: Spring constant of Belt (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 6)
- 86. J^L: Inertia moment at Screw side (paragraph 0060)
- 87. ω^L : Angular velocity at Screw side (paragraph 0060)

- 88. R^L: Pulley radius at Screw side (This would be a known variable of the injection molding machine: Figure 5)
- 89. $Fd(\omega^L)$: Dynamic frictional resistance at Screw side
- 90. Dynamic frictional resistance is defined as a function of torque and velocity, both of which Bulgrin et al disclose (paragraph 0060)
- 91. x_{-1} : Value of x at Immediately preceding processing period
- 92. These values would be known since there are means for the detection of these values as described above.
- 93. Bulgrin et al disclose the claimed invention except for Expression 6. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to develop Expression 6 using the known variables, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to develop Expression 6 using the known variables for the purpose of controlling the injection pressure to ensure that the injection process is free of defects. *In re Antonie*, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977).
- 94. Regarding claim 8:
- 95. The method of controlling pressure in an electric injection molding machine according to claim 3, 5, or 7, further comprising: calculating said torque command value T^{CMD} for said motor based the following Expression 7 (Expression 7 not shown); and feeding back said torque command value to said motor. (paragraph 0021)
- 96. kp: Certain constant
- 97. α : Certain function or constant

Application/Control Number: 10/541,470

Page 12

- 98. Development of constants is well within the abilities of a skilled artisan.
- 99. Bulgrin et al disclose the claimed invention except for Expression 7. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to develop Expression 7 using the known variables, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to develop Expression 7 using the known variables for the purpose of controlling the injection pressure to ensure that the injection process is free of defects. *In re Antonie, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977).*
- 101. The method of controlling pressure in an electric injection molding machine according to claim 1, further comprising deriving a dynamic frictional resistance $F(\omega)$ from a relation between a velocity or position and a torque or current value associated with said motor at the time of injection with no resin loaded.
- 102. Dynamic frictional resistance is defined as a function of torque and velocity, both of which Bulgrin et al disclose (paragraph 0060)
- 103. Bulgrin et al disclose the claimed invention except for a dynamic frictional resistance $F(\omega)$ function. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to develop a $F(\omega)$ function using the known variables, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to develop a $F(\omega)$ function using the known variables for the purpose of controlling the

Art Unit: 4151

injection pressure to ensure that the injection process is free of defects. *In re Antonie,* 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977).

- 104. Regarding claim 11:
- 105. The method of controlling pressure in an electric injection molding machine according to claim 1, further comprising: defining a dynamic frictional resistance $F(\omega)$ as a sum of a velocity-dependent component and a load-dependent component; deriving said velocity-dependent component of said dynamic frictional resistance from a relation between a velocity or position and a torque or current value associated with said motor at the time of injection with no resin loaded; and deriving said load-dependent component of said dynamic frictional resistance from a relation between a torque or current value and a pressure value at the time of injection with a plugged nozzle 106. Dynamic frictional resistance is defined as a function of torque and velocity, both of which Bulgrin et al disclose (paragraph 0060)
- 107. Bulgrin et al disclose the claimed invention except for a dynamic frictional resistance $F(\omega)$ function. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to develop a $F(\omega)$ function using the known variables, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to develop a $F(\omega)$ function using the known variables for the purpose of controlling the injection pressure to ensure that the injection process is free of defects. *In re Antonie*, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977).

Art Unit: 4151

Conclusion

108. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 109. U.S. Patent 4,759,705: Torque and pressure
- 110. U.S. Patent 4,887,012: Torque and pressure
- 111. U.S. Patent 5,102,587: Pressure detection and velocity
- 112. U.S. Patent 5,362,222: Torque and pressure
- 113. U.S. Patent 5,911,924: Velocity, positioning, and pressure
- 114. U.S. Patent 6,562,264: Pressure and controls
- 115. Japan Patent 09220748 A: Torque, velocity, and inertia

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY KENNEDY whose telephone number is (571)270-7068. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:30am to 5:00pm, and every other Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Ortiz can be reached on (571) 272-1206. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

tjk

/Angela Ortiz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4151